

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/914,033	08/22/2001	Ieyasu Kobayashi	·	8235
75	90 07/15/2003			
Rader Fishman & Grauer			EXAMINER	
1233 20th Street N W Suite 501 Washington, DC 20036			RIVERA, WILI	JAM ARAUZ
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	i.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/914,033	KOBAYASHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	William A Rivera	3654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory i  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ION.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on.  , a reply within the statutory minimum of this period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the applic	cation				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	and and the second of the second				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement				
Application Papers	and/or election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ approved b)□ o	disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required	I in reply to this Office action.				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in A	Application No			
Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation     See the attached detailed Office action for	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor					
a) The translation of the foreign languag	e provisional application has b	een received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offi	ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8			

Application/Control Number: 09/914,033

Art Unit: 3654

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to because the drawings showing the film roll, i.e., the ones labeled "1. Measuring Diameters of the Film Roll", "2. Image of Shape of the Film Roll", etc. are not labeled with a numeral, such as --Figure 1--.

The examiner MUST approve all changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson, before the application will be allowed. Applicant is required to submit a sketch or copy of the drawings with the proposed changes highlighted in red ink in response to this Office Action. Any proposed changes to the drawings must be submitted as a separate letter to the Draftsperson. See MPEP 608.02 (r). No changes will be permitted, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes. However, formal correction of the noted defect(s) can be deferred until the examiner allows the application.

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: there is no section with a brief description of the drawings. On page 4, line 5, is "L" the length of the rolled polyester film? It appears that it would be more accurate to state that --L is the length of the rolled film

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Is "L" the length of the rolled polyester film? It appears that it would be more accurate to state that --L is the length of the rolled film. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,033

Art Unit: 3654

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 16 is vague and indefinite. On line 3, the phrase "given that a curved line...among one end of diameter lines" is unclear. How is a curved line having two ends formed among one end of diameter lines? On line 7, what constitutes a convex portion side or a concave portion side? How does one know how to treat it element as a concave or convex portion?

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sasaki et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,576,344).

With respect to Claims 1-3 and 16-18, note that Sasaki et al teach a polyester film roll free from wrinkles. Therefore, the minimum diameter value is not more than 2W X 10<sup>-3</sup> because the width of the roll is uniform throughout the roll and such is the case for the condition L X 10<sup>-7</sup>.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/914,033

Art Unit: 3654

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-15 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki et al as applied to claims 1-2 and 16-17 above.

Sasaki et al do not mention the specific dimensions in term of rolling hardness or flexural modulus etc. However, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice, as determined through routine experimentation and optimization, to dimension the polyester film roll of Sasaki et al as specified in Claims 4-15 and 19-24 because one of ordinary skill would have been expected to have routinely experimented to determine the optimum dimensions for a particular use.

## Response to Arguments

The new grounds of rejection were necessitated by applicant's amendment, e.g., the requirement for the measurement of the roll along the width direction of the roll, Claim 1, lines 2-3; the requirement for a curved line having two end can be formed from among one end of diameter lines, Claim 16, lines 2-3.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/914,033 Page 5

Art Unit: 3654

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William A. Rivera whose telephone number is (703) 308-2684. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 2:00 PM to 10:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki, can be reached on (703) 308-2688.

Telephone status inquiries regarding this application should be directed to (703) 308-1113. Facsimile correspondence for this application should be sent to the following respective numbers:

For **BEFORE FINAL** correspondence: (703) 872-9326

For AFTER FINAL correspondence: (703) 872-9327

WILLIAM A. RIVERA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Without Nineway

July 14, 2003

Application/Control Number: 09/914,033 Page 5

Art Unit: 3654

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William A. Rivera whose telephone number is (703) 308-2684. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 2:00 PM to 10:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki, can be reached on (703) 308-2688.

Telephone status inquiries regarding this application should be directed to (703) 308-1113. Facsimile correspondence for this application should be sent to the following respective numbers:

For **BEFORE FINAL** correspondence: (703) 872-9326

For AFTER FINAL correspondence: (703) 872-9327